## Actuarial Work-Products, Inc.

8025 North Point Blvd, Suite 207W Winston-Salem, NC 27106 Tel. (336) 759-2035 Fax. (336) 896-0392

Carlton Harker, FSA, MAAA Principal harker2@earthlink.net Philip Castevens, ASA, MAAA Principal pge512@yahoo.com

TO: Addressee No. 1

John Smith Enterprises PO Box 987 Winston-Salem, NC 27103 Tel. 888-999-9999 Fax. 555-777-4444 Addressee No. 2

Self-Funding Actuarial 8025 North Point Blvd Winston-Salem, NC 27106 Tel. 336-759-2035 Fax. 336-906-0392 Addressee Number 3

Black and White Consult 1841 Downtown Avenue Covington. LA 57108 Tel. 999-797-1109 Fax. 888-797-1079

**RE:** Discrimination Testing

Health Care Plans - IRC Section 105(h)

**Safe Harbor Test** 

Test	Date		
	-	100.11	

Employer			
Single	Multiple (Controlled/Affiliated)	Other Employers Include:	
Plan Name		DOL No	
Plan Description	ı		
Engager	Test Year	<u> </u>	

This Work-Product constitutes an Actuarial Opinion that may be used to determine whether or not the subject plan is discriminatory as contemplated by IRC Section 105(h) and relevant regulations and rulings without the necessity of recognizing the HCIs. The quantitative aspects of health care plan discrimination testing are properly of interest to the health actuary. Other areas of interest (plan restructuring, financial penalties, e.g.) properly remain with the accountant or attorney, however. This Work-Product is in three parts: (a) Opinion, (b) Testing Results and (c) Submitted Data.

Date Sincerely,

Principal or Certifying Actuary

### **Opinion**

I am either (a) a principal of Actuarial Work-Products, Inc. or (b) a Certifying Actuary designated as Addressee Number Three and am a member of the Society of Actuaries and of the American Academy of Actuaries. I, or my firm, has been retained by the Engager to provide calculations of certain actuarial items for the above-cited Health Care Plan and also to provide an opinion as to whether or not such Plan is discriminatory as contemplated by applicable Federal Laws, Regulations or Rulings. I relied upon the Engager cited herein as to the accuracy and completeness of the underlying data and documentation that was used in this Certification. In other aspects, my examination included (a) reviews of the actuarial assumptions, methods, submitted data and (b) tests of actuarial computations as I considered necessary under the circumstances.

	Are These Discrimination
Eligibility Tests	Tests Met?
70% Test	
70/80% Test	
Benefits and Contributions Tests	
Per Se Tests	
Benefit Availability Tests	
Is this Plan Non-Discriminatory for the Test Year?	

This Work-Product is an actuarial opinion as contemplated by the American Academy of Actuaries.

- 1. I am qualified to offer such opinion by reason of my meeting the requisite examination, experience and continuing education requirements of the American Academy of Actuaries.
- 2. I am independent of and have no conflicted interest with any part with respect to this Work-Product.
- 3. This Work-Product was prepared at the request of the Engager who is identified herein and who may not be the end-user.
- 4. I intend to be a fiduciary with respect to this Work-Product andwill act accordingly striving to meet the standards of conduct necessary to meet this end.

assumed by Actuarial Work-Products, Inc. vinsurance. Either the Principal or the Certify professional liability for the data-handling a insurance; such Actuary does not assume the data but does assume the professional response.	e liability for flawed or inappropriately submitted
Date	Principal or Certifying Actuary

# **Testing Results**

#### **Eligibility Tests**

Percentage Test	70% Test	70/80% Test
Employees – Total		
Without the Excludables		
Participants – Total		
Without the Excludables		
Test Result		
Do the Excludables exceed 70% of the Total E	Employees?	·····
<b>Benefits and Contributions Test</b>		
Per Se Tests		
Were there any instances during the Test Year where either offered or used by any one of the HCI that we se, or in practical effect (e.g., eligibility, benefits, ovariations by tenure or variations by compensation) such HCI?  Yes_	ere discriminatory <i>per</i> contributions,	
Benefit Availability Test		
Were there any instances during the Test Year where or Contributions were offered to one employee ground from those that were offered to another employee ground potential of <i>per se</i> discrimination was present? Yes	ping that were difference the	

## **Submitted Data**